# **Producer Theory**

Econ 3030

Fall 2025

#### Lecture 14

#### Outline

- Firms as Production Sets
  - Production Sets and Production Functions
  - Profits Maximization, Supply Correspondence, and Profit Function
  - Cost Minimization

#### **Producers and Production Sets**

#### **Producers** buy inputs and use them to produce and sell outputs to maximize profit.

- The plural is important because most firms produce more than one good.
- The standard undergraduate textbook description focuses on one ouput and a few inputs (two in most cases).
  - Production is described by a function that has inputs as the domain and output as the range like q = f(K, L)
- We use a more general, abstract, description of production.
- Either way, the first step of the process is to describe the technology that is available to a firm.

#### **Producers and Production Sets**

**Producers** buy inputs and use them to produce and sell outputs to maximize profit.

#### **Definition**

A production set is a non-empty set  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ .

#### **Notation**

- $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, ..., y_N) \in Y$  denotes feasible production (input-output) vectors.
  - Outputs are non-negative numbers and inputs are non-positive numbers:
    - $y_i \le 0$  when i is an input, and  $y_i \ge 0$  if i is an output.

#### **Production Set Properties**

#### **Definition**

 $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfies:

- no free lunch if  $Y \cap \mathbb{R}^n_+ \subseteq \{\mathbf{0}_n\}$ ;
- possibility of inaction if  $\mathbf{0}_n \in Y$ ;
- free disposal if  $\mathbf{y} \in Y$  implies  $\mathbf{y}' \in Y$  for all  $\mathbf{y}' \leq y$ ;
- irreversibility if  $\mathbf{y} \in Y$  and  $\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{0}_n$  imply  $-\mathbf{y} \notin Y$ ;
- nonincreasing returns to scale if  $y \in Y$  implies  $\alpha y \in Y$  for all  $\alpha \in [0,1]$ ;
- nondecreasing returns to scale if  $y \in Y$  implies  $\alpha y \in Y$  for all  $\alpha \ge 1$ ;
- constant returns to scale if  $\mathbf{y} \in Y$  implies  $\alpha \mathbf{y} \in Y$  for all  $\alpha \geq 0$ ;
- additivity if  $y, y' \in Y$  imply  $y + y' \in Y$ ;
- convexity if Y is convex;
- Y is a convex cone if for any  $\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}' \in Y$  and  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha \mathbf{y} + \beta \mathbf{y}' \in Y$ .

Draw Pictures.

#### **Production Set Properties Are Related**

• Some of these properties are related.

#### Question 1, Problem Set 7.

Prove that Y satisfies additivity and nonincreasing returns if and only if it is a convex cone.

#### Question 2, Problem Set 7.

Prove that for any convex  $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\mathbf{0}_n \in Y$ , there is a convex  $Y' \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  that satisfies constant returns to scale such that  $Y = \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n : (\mathbf{y}, -1) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\}$ .

#### **Production Functions**

Let  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$  denote outputs while  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_+^l$  represent inputs; if the two are related by a function  $f: \mathbb{R}_+^l \to \mathbb{R}_+^m$ , we write  $\mathbf{y} = f(\mathbf{x})$  to say that  $\mathbf{y}$  units of outputs are produced using  $\mathbf{x}$  amount of the inputs.

- When m=1, this is the familiar one output many inputs production function.
- Production sets and the familiar production function are related.

#### **Production Functions and Production Sets Are Related**

Let  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$  denote outputs while  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_+^l$  represent inputs; if the two are related by a function  $f: \mathbb{R}_+^l \to \mathbb{R}_+^m$ , we write  $\mathbf{y} = f(\mathbf{x})$  to say that  $\mathbf{y}$  units of outputs are produced using  $\mathbf{x}$  amount of the inputs.

#### Question 3, Problem Set 7.

Suppose the firm's production set is generated by a production function  $f: \mathbf{R}_+^I \to \mathbf{R}_+^m$ , where  $\mathbf{R}_+^I$  represents its I inputs and  $\mathbb{R}_+^m$  represents its I outputs. Let

$$Y = \{(-\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^{I}_{-} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}_{+} : \mathbf{y} \leq f(\mathbf{x})\}.$$

Prove the following:

- Y satisfies no free lunch, possibility of inaction, free disposal, and irreversibility.
- ② Suppose m=1. Y satisfies constant returns to scale if and only if f is homogeneous of degree one, i.e.  $f(\alpha \mathbf{x}) = \alpha f(\mathbf{x})$  for all  $\alpha \geq 0$ .
- 3 Suppose m = 1. Y satisfies convexity if and only if f is concave.

#### **Transformation Function**

• We can describe a production set using a particular function.

#### **Definition**

Given a production set  $Y\subseteq\mathbb{R}^n$ , the transformation function  $F:Y\to\mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$Y = \{ \mathbf{y} \in Y : F(\mathbf{y}) \le 0 \text{ and } F(\mathbf{y}) = 0 \text{ if and only if } \mathbf{y} \text{ is on the boundary of } Y \};$$
 the transformation frontier is  $\{ \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n : F(\mathbf{y}) = 0 \}$ 

#### **Definition**

Given a differentiable transformation function F and a point on its transformation frontier  $\mathbf{y}$ , the marginal rate of transformation for goods i and j is given by

$$MRT_{i,j} = \frac{\frac{\partial F(\mathbf{y})}{\partial y_i}}{\frac{\partial F(\mathbf{y})}{\partial y_i}}$$

- Since  $F(\mathbf{y}) = 0$  we have  $\frac{\partial F(\mathbf{y})}{\partial v_i} dy_i + \frac{\partial F(\mathbf{y})}{\partial v_i} dy_j = 0$
- Therefore, MRT is the slope of the transformation frontier at y.

#### **Profits**

**Producers** buy inputs and use them to produce and sell outputs to maximize profit.

#### **Definition**

A production set is a subset  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ .

- $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, ..., y_N) \in Y$  denotes feasible production (input-output) vectors.
  - Outputs are non-negative numbers and inputs are non-positive numbers:
  - $y_i \le 0$  when i is an input, and  $y_i \ge 0$  if i is an output.
- Given a price vector  $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^n_{++}$ , what is  $\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{y}$ ?
- ullet Given a price vector  $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^n_{++}$ ,

$$\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i y_i = p_1 y_1 + p_2 y_2 + ... + p_n y_n$$

are the firm's profits.

• How does this distinguish between revenues and costs?

#### **Profit Maximization**

#### **Profit Maximizing Assumption**

The firm's objective is to choose a production vector on the transformation frontier as to maximize profits given prices  $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^n_{++}$ :

$$\max_{\mathbf{y} \in Y} \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{y}$$

or equivalently

 $\max \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{y}$  subject to  $F(\mathbf{y}) \leq 0$ 

• Using the single output production function:

$$\max_{x \ge 0} pf(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}$$

where  $p \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$  is the price of output and  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^{I}$  is the price of inputs.

#### First Order Conditions For Profit Maximization

$$\max_{y} \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{y}$$
 subject to  $F(\mathbf{y}) = 0$ 

### **Lagrangean:** $L = \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{y} - \lambda(F(\mathbf{y}) - 0)$

• The FOC are:  $p_i = \lambda \frac{\partial F(\mathbf{y})}{\partial y_i}$  for each i or  $\mathbf{p} = \lambda \nabla F(\mathbf{y})$  in matrix form

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{p}}_{1\times n} = \lambda \underbrace{\nabla F(\mathbf{y})}_{1\times n} \text{ in matrix form}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} = \frac{\frac{\partial F(\mathbf{y})}{\partial y_i}}{p_i} \text{ for each } i$$

- the marginal product per dollar received or spent is equal across all goods.
- Using this formula, for two goods i and i:

$$\frac{\frac{\partial F(y)}{\partial y_i}}{\frac{\partial F(y)}{\partial y_i}} = MRT_{i,j} = \frac{p_i}{p_j} \text{ for each } i, j$$

the Marginal Rate of Transformation equals the price ratio.

#### **Supply Correspondence and Profit Functions**

#### **Definition**

Given a production set  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , the supply correspondence  $y^* : \mathbb{R}^n_{++} \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is:

$$y^*(\mathbf{p}) = \arg\max_{\mathbf{y} \in Y} \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{y}.$$

Tracks the optimal choice as prices change (similar to Walrasian demand).

#### **Definition**

Given a production set  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , the profit function  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^n_{++} \to \mathbb{R}$  is:

$$\pi(\mathbf{p}) = \max_{\mathbf{y} \in Y} \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{y}.$$

Tracks maximized profits as prices change (similar to indirect utility function).

### Supply Correspondence and Profit Functions: Example

#### Definition

Given a production set  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , the supply correspondence  $y^* : \mathbb{R}^n_{++} \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is:

$$y^*(\mathbf{p}) = \arg\max_{\mathbf{y} \in Y} \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{y}.$$

#### **Definition**

Given a production set  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , the profit function  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^n_{++} \to \mathbb{R}$  is:

$$\pi(\mathbf{p}) = \max_{\mathbf{y} \in Y} \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{y}.$$

### **Proposition**

If Y satisfies non decreasing returns to scale either  $\pi(\mathbf{p}) \leq 0$  or  $\pi(\mathbf{p}) = +\infty$ .

#### Proof.

Question 4, Problem Set 7.

#### **Properties of Supply and Profit Functions**

#### **Proposition**

Suppose Y is closed and satisfies free disposal. Then:

- $y^*(\alpha \mathbf{p}) = y^*(\mathbf{p})$  for all  $\alpha > 0$ ; and  $\pi(\alpha \mathbf{p}) = \alpha \pi(\mathbf{p})$  for all  $\alpha > 0$ ;
- $\pi$  is convex in  $\mathbf{p}$ ;
- if Y is convex, then  $y^*(\mathbf{p})$  is convex.
- The first follows from the obsevation that

$$y^*(\alpha \mathbf{p}) = \arg \max_{\mathbf{y} \in Y} \alpha \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \alpha \arg \max_{\mathbf{y} \in Y} \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \arg \max_{\mathbf{y} \in Y} \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{y} = y^*(\mathbf{p}).$$

#### The Profit Function Is Convex

#### Proof.

Let  $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}' \in \mathbb{R}^n_{++}$  and let the corresponding profit maximizing solutions be  $\mathbf{y}$  and  $\mathbf{y}'$ . For any  $\lambda \in (0,1)$  let  $\tilde{\mathbf{p}} = \lambda \mathbf{p} + (1-\lambda) \mathbf{p}'$  and let  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$  be the solution to the optimum when prices are  $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}$ .

• By "revealed preferences"

$$\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{y} \ge \mathbf{p} \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{y}}$$
 and  $\mathbf{p}' \cdot \mathbf{y}' \ge \mathbf{p}' \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{y}}$ 

ullet multiply these inequalities by  $\lambda$  and  $1-\lambda$  respectively

$$\lambda \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{y} \ge \lambda \mathbf{p} \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{y}}$$
 and  $(1 - \lambda) \mathbf{p}' \cdot \mathbf{y}' \ge (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{p}' \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{y}}$ 

summing up

$$\lambda \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{y} + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{p}' \cdot \mathbf{y}' \ge [\lambda \mathbf{p} + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{p}'] \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{y}}$$

or

$$\lambda \pi \left( \mathbf{p} \right) + \left( 1 - \lambda \right) \pi \left( \mathbf{p}' \right) \ge \pi \left( \lambda \mathbf{p} + \left( 1 - \lambda \right) \mathbf{p}' \right)$$

proving convexity.



#### The Supply Correspondence Is Convex

#### Proof.

Let  $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^n_{++}$  and let  $\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}' \in y^*(\mathbf{p})$ .

We need to show that  $\lambda \mathbf{y} + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{y}' \in y^*(\mathbf{p})$  for any  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , if Y is convex.

By definition:

$$\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{y}\geq\mathbf{p}\cdot\widetilde{\mathbf{y}}\qquad\text{for any }\widetilde{\mathbf{y}}\in Y\qquad\text{and}\qquad\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{y}'\geq\mathbf{p}\cdot\widetilde{\mathbf{y}}\qquad\text{for any }\widetilde{\mathbf{y}}\in Y$$

ullet multiplying by  $\lambda$  and  $1-\lambda$  we get

$$\lambda \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{y} \ge \lambda \mathbf{p} \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{y}}$$
 and  $(1 - \lambda) \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{y}' \ge (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{p} \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{y}}$ 

• Therefore, summing up, we have

$$\lambda \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{y} + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{y}' \ge [\lambda + (1 - \lambda)] \mathbf{p} \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{y}}$$

or

$$\mathbf{p} \cdot [\lambda \mathbf{y} + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{y}'] \ge \mathbf{p} \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{y}}$$

proving convexity of  $y^*(\mathbf{p})$ .



#### More Properties of Supply and Profit Functions

#### **Proposition**

Suppose Y is closed and satisfies free disposal. Then:

- if  $|y^*(\mathbf{p})| = 1$ , then  $\pi$  is differentiable at  $\mathbf{p}$  and  $\nabla \pi(\mathbf{p}) = y^*(\mathbf{p})$  (Hotelling's Lemma).
- if  $y^*(\mathbf{p})$  is differentiable at  $\mathbf{p}$ , then  $Dy^*(\mathbf{p}) = D^2\pi(\mathbf{p})$  is symmetric and positive semidefinite with  $Dy^*(\mathbf{p})\mathbf{p} = 0$  (Law of Supply).

#### Proof.

Question 5, Problem Set 7.



- The last result says  $\frac{\partial y_i^*(\mathbf{p})}{\partial p_i} \geq 0$ : quantity responds in the same direction as prices.
  - Notice that here  $y_i$  can be either input or output.
    - What does this mean for outputs? What does this mean for inputs?

# Factor Demand, Supply, and Profit Function

• The previous concepts can be stated using the one-output production function.

# Definition

Given  $p \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$  and  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^{I}$  and a production function  $f : \mathbb{R}_{+}^{I} \to \mathbb{R}_{+}$ , the firm's factor demand is  $x^{*}(p, \mathbf{w}) = \arg\max\{py - \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} \text{ subject to } f(\mathbf{x}) = y\} = \arg\max pf(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}.$ 

## **Definition**

supply  $y^*: \mathbb{R}'_{\perp} \to \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

Given  $p \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$  and  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^{I}$  and a production function  $f : \mathbb{R}_{+}^{I} \to \mathbb{R}_{+}$ , the firm's

Definition
Given  $p \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$  and  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^{I}$  and a production function  $f : \mathbb{R}_{+}^{I} \to \mathbb{R}_{+}$ , the firm's profit

 $y^{*}(p, \mathbf{w}) = f(x^{*}(p, \mathbf{w})).$ 

function 
$$\pi: \mathbb{R}_{++} \times \mathbb{R}'_{++} \to \mathbb{R}$$
 is defined by 
$$\pi(p, \mathbf{w}) = py^*(p, \mathbf{w}) - \mathbf{w} \cdot x^*(p, \mathbf{w}).$$

#### **Factor Demand Properties**

• Given these definitions, the following results "translate" the results for output sets to production functions.

#### **Proposition**

Given  $p \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$  and  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^{I}$  and a production function  $f : \mathbb{R}_{+}^{I} \to \mathbb{R}_{+}$ ,

- $\pi(p, \mathbf{w})$  is convex in  $(p, \mathbf{w})$ .
- ②  $y^*(p, \mathbf{w})$  is non decreasing in p (i.e.  $\frac{\partial y^*(p, \mathbf{w})}{\partial p} \ge 0$ ) and  $x^*(p, \mathbf{w})$  is non increasing in w (i.e.  $\frac{\partial x_i^*(p, \mathbf{w})}{\partial w_i} \le 0$ ) (Hotelling's Lemma).

#### Proof.

Exercise.

#### **Cost Minimization (for one output production) Cost Minimizing**

 Consider the single output case and suppose the firm wants to deliver a given output quantity at the lowest possible costs. The firm solves

 $\min \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}$  subject to  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{y}$ 

**Definition** 

• This has no simple equivalent in the output vector notation.

Given  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^{I}$  and a production function  $f: \mathbb{R}_{+}^{I} \to \mathbb{R}_{+}$ , the firm's conditional factor demand is  $x^*(\mathbf{w}, y) = \arg\min \{\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} \text{ subject to } f(\mathbf{x}) = y\};$ 

**Definition** Given  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^{I}$  and a production function  $f: \mathbb{R}_{+}^{I} \to \mathbb{R}_{+}$ , the firm's cost function

$$C: \mathbb{R}_{++}^I \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$$
 is defined by

 $C(\mathbf{w}, y) = \mathbf{w} \cdot x^* (\mathbf{w}, y)$ .

# Proposition Proposition

Given a production function  $f: \mathbb{R}^l_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ , the corresponding cost function  $C(\mathbf{w}, y)$  is concave in  $\mathbf{w}$ .

# Exercise (Hint: use a 'revealed preferences' argument)

Shephard's Lemma

• Write the Lagrangian  $L = \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \lambda [f(\mathbf{x}) - y]$ • by the Envelope Theorem

$$\frac{\partial C(\mathbf{w}, y)}{\partial w_i} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_i} = x_i^* (\mathbf{w}, y)$$

# Conditional factor demands are downward aloning

Conditional factor demands are downward sloping

Differentiating one more time:  $\frac{\partial C^2(\mathbf{w},y)}{\partial w_i \partial w_i} = \frac{\partial x_i^*(\mathbf{w},y)}{\partial w_i} \leq 0$  where the inequality follows concavity of  $C(\mathbf{w},y)$ .

#### **Geometry of Cost Functions**

We can talk about the shape of cost functions as the quantity produced changes.

- In other words, fix **w** and let y change, and see what  $C(\mathbf{w}, y)$  looks like.
- Here is an observation: consider the one input one output case and normalize the price of the input to 1; then, the cost curve is just the production set rotated by 90 degrees.
  - draw a picture
- The shape of the cost functions is driven by the shape of production set (the shape of the production function).

#### **Next Class**

- More on Cost Functions
- Short Run vs. Long Run
- Monopoly
- Aggregation